



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/089,846	06/06/2002	John Carter	3920-0110P	5250
7590 08/12/2009 James W. Hellwege Muncy, Geissler, Olds & Lowe, PLLC P.O. Box 1364 Fairfax, VA 22038-1364			EXAMINER CHOI, FRANK I	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/12/2009	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/089,846	CARTER, JOHN	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	FRANK I. CHOI	1616	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) FRANK I. CHOI. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) James Hellwege. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 11 August 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Proposed claims attached hereto as Exhibit A.

Claim(s) discussed: 105, 106, 108, 124, 125, 127-130, 132-135, 165 and 166.

Identification of prior art discussed: Jackson (US564011), Riley(US 5948443), Klampfer et al., EP0511895, Wawretschek(US4061741), DE2457424, Herschler (US4514421, US4616039), Memnon et al., Maramag et al..

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's representative submitted proposed claims attached hereto as Exhibit A. The examiner indicated that the proposed claims appear to overcome the 112 rejections and the prior art rejection. The examiner will enter the after-final amendment when filed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/John Pak/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1616